

ROP v. Heinrick, 2 ROP Intrm. 115 (1990)
REPUBLIC OF PALAU
Appellee,

v.

SULIAL HEINRICK,
Appellant.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7-87
Civil Action No. 284-87

Supreme Court, Appellate Division
Republic of Palau

Order of dismissal
Decided: July 13, 1990

Counsel for Appellee: Attorney General

Counsel for Appellant: John S. Tarkong, Esq.

BEFORE: MAMORU NAKAMURA, Chief Justice; ARTHUR NGIRAKLSONG, Associate Justice; EDWARD C. KING, Associate Justice.

PER CURIAM:

It appears from the record herein that judgment was entered on November 23, 1987, and notice of appeal was filed on November 27, 1987. It further appears that appellant was notified of the estimated cost of transcript of evidence on January 12, 1988.

It further appears that since the notification of this estimated cost of transcript, appellant has not taken any action to perfect his appeal pursuant to Appellate Rules, and there is consequently nothing before the Court for review.

¶116 It is, therefore, ordered, that the above entitled appeal be, and it hereby is, dismissed.